STATE OF LOUISIANA DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW ETHICS ADJUDICATORY BOARD

BOARD OF ETHICS * DOCKET NO. 2020-5597-ETHICS-B

*

IN THE MATTER OF

*

DECISION AND ORDER

Barnell L. Williams knowingly failed to file three campaign finance disclosure reports as required by law. The Board of Ethics, acting in its capacity as the Supervisory Committee on Campaign Finance Disclosure, may impose upon Barnell L. Williams additional civil penalties as allowed by La. R.S. 18:1505.4(A)(4)(a) and (b).

APPEARANCES

An adjudicatory hearing was conducted November 19, 2020, in Baton Rouge, Louisiana, before Panel B of the Ethics Adjudicatory Board (EAB). Charles Reeves appeared as counsel on behalf of the Board of Ethics (BOE). Although duly noticed, Barnell L. Williams (Respondent) did not appear for the hearing.²

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The BOE requested that the EAB conduct an adjudicatory hearing to determine whether, pursuant to La. R.S. 18:1505.4(A)(4)(a), Respondent was more than six days late filing his 30-P campaign finance disclosure report for the October 12, 2019, primary election for the office of West Baton Rouge Parish Sheriff. The BOE also requested that the EAB conduct an adjudicatory hearing to determine whether, pursuant to La. R.S. 18:1505.4(A)(4)(a), Respondent was more than

¹ The Panel consists of Administrative Law Judges Gregory McDonald, Anthony Russo, and Edwin L. Hightower. Judge Hightower is an alternate panel member that served due to the unavailability of another EAB panel member.

² See BOE-14.

six days late filing his 10-P campaign finance disclosure report for the October 12, 2019, primary election for the office of West Baton Rouge Parish Sheriff. The BOE also requested that the EAB conduct an adjudicatory hearing to determine whether, pursuant to La. R.S. 18:1505.4(A)(4)(b), Respondent was more than eleven days late filing his 10-G campaign finance disclosure report for the related general election³ for the office of West Baton Rouge Parish Sheriff. If the 30-P or 10-P campaign finance disclosure reports were filed more than six days late, or if the 10-G campaign finance disclosure form was filed more than eleven days late, LA. R.S. 18:1505.4(A)(4) would authorize the BOE to impose upon Respondent additional civil penalties of up to \$10,000 per late report.

At the adjudicatory hearing, BOE offered its exhibits, numbered BOE-1 through BOE-14, which were admitted into evidence. Additionally, the record remained open until November 25, 2020, to permit the BOE to submit a copy of documentation showing the personal signature of Respondent on BOE-2, page 2. The BOE timely filed the documentation into the record. Subsequently, the record was closed and the matter was submitted for decision.

This adjudication is conducted in accordance with the Campaign Finance Disclosure Act (CFDA), La. R.S. 18:1481, *et seq.*, the Code of Governmental Ethics, La. R.S. 42:1101, *et seq.*, and the Administrative Procedure Act, La. R.S. 49:950, *et seq.*

FINDINGS OF FACT

Respondent was an unsuccessful candidate for the office of West Baton Rouge Parish Sheriff, in a primary election held October 12, 2019.⁴ The office of West Baton Rouge Parish Sheriff is a "district" level office, as it is a parish-wide elected office.⁵ Respondent was defeated

2

³ November 16, 2019 was the scheduled general election date for the October 12, 2019 primary election.

⁴ See BOE-2, page 2. See also, Respondent admitted, by operation of La. C.C.P. art. 1467(A), that he was a candidate for the office of West Baton Rouge Parish Sheriff. BOE-10, page 4; BOE-11; and BOE-12.

⁵ See BOE-4, page 2.

in the primary election.⁶ The general election for the office was held November 16, 2019.⁷ Respondent was required to file a campaign finance disclosure report thirty days prior to the primary election (also known as a 30-P), due on September 12, 2019; a campaign finance disclosure report ten days prior to the primary election (also known as a 10-P), due on October 2, 2019; and a campaign finance disclosure report ten days prior to the general election (also known as the 10-G), due on November 6, 2019.⁸

The BOE is the Supervisory Committee on Campaign Finance Disclosure under the CFDA. Election candidates, when qualifying at their respective clerks of court, are provided with BOE's Schedule of Reporting and Filing Dates for Candidates (Schedule). The Schedule informed Respondent that the 30-P report was due September 12, 2019, the 10-P report was due October 2, 2019, and the 10-G report was due November 6, 2019. Upon qualifying to run for office, Respondent also acknowledged that he was subject to the provisions of the Campaign Finance Disclosure Act. 12

Respondent did not file the required 30-P report by the due date, September 12, 2019.¹³ The BOE issued a Late Fee Assessment Order and assessed a fine of \$2,000.00 for this violation.¹⁴ Respondent was notified in the letter accompanying the order that he could be subject to an additional civil penalty of up to \$10,000.00, pursuant to La. R.S. 18:1505.4(A)(4), if he failed to

⁶ BOE-3, page 2.

⁷ BOE-4.

⁸ BOE-4.

⁹ La. R.S. 18:1483(19).

¹⁰ See BOE-4.

¹¹ *Id*.

¹² BOE-2, page 2. The Campaign Finance Disclosure Act, La. R.S. 18:1481, *et seq.*, also provides the same schedule of reports due. *See* La. R.S. 18:1495.4(B)(3) - (5).

¹³ BOE-9. *See also*, BOE-Respondent admitted, by operation of La. C.C.P. art. 1467(A), that he did not file a 30-P campaign finance disclosure report in connection with the October 12, 2019, primary election for the office of West Baton Rouge Parish Sheriff. BOE-10, page 4; BOE-11; and BOE-12.

¹⁴ BOE-6.

file the report.¹⁵ The 30-P report had not been filed as of October 5, 2020.¹⁶

Respondent did not file the required 10-P report by the due date, October 2, 2019.¹⁷ The BOE issued a Late Fee Assessment Order and assessed a fine of \$2,000.00 for this violation.¹⁸ Respondent was notified in the letter accompanying the order that he could be subject to an additional civil penalty of up to \$10,000.00, pursuant to La. R.S. 18:1505.4(A)(4), if he failed to file the report.¹⁹ The 10-P report had not been filed as of October 5, 2020.²⁰

Respondent did not file the required 10-G report by the due date of November 6, 2019,²¹ in connection with the October 12, 2019 primary.²² The BOE issued a Late Fee Assessment Order and assessed a fine of \$2,000.00 for this violation.²³ Respondent was notified in the letter accompanying the order that he could be subject to an additional civil penalty of up to \$10,000.00, pursuant to La. R.S. 18:1505.4(A)(4), if he failed to file the report.²⁴ The 10-G report had not been filed as of October 5, 2020.²⁵

Respondent failed to appear for the hearing or respond to the Late Fee Assessment Orders and accompanying letters, despite notice of the hearing, Late Fee Assessment Orders and accompanying letters being sent to his last known address.²⁶

¹⁵ BOE-7.

¹⁶ BOE-9.

¹⁷ BOE-9. *See also* BOE-Respondent admitted, by operation of La. C.C.P. art. 1467(A), that he did not file a 10-P campaign finance disclosure report in connection with the October 12, 2019, primary election for the office of West Baton Rouge Parish Sheriff. BOE-10, page 4; BOE-11; and BOE-12.

¹⁸ BOE-7.

¹⁹ *Id.*, page 1.

²⁰ BOE-9.

²¹ November 16, 2019 was the scheduled general election date for the October 12, 2019 primary election.

²² Respondent admitted, by operation of La. C.C.P. art. 1467(A), that he did not file a 10-G campaign finance disclosure report in connection with the October 12, 2019, primary election for the office of West Baton Rouge Parish Sheriff. BOE-10, page 5; BOE-11; and BOE-12.

²³ BOE-8.

²⁴ *Id.*, page 1.

²⁵ BOE-9.

²⁶ BOE-6, BOE-7, BOE-8, BOE-11, BOE-12, BOE-13, BOE-14.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

La. R.S. 18:1505.4(A)(4)(a) and (b) of the CFDA provide that if, after conducting an adjudicatory hearing, the EAB determines that a candidate knowingly failed to file the required campaign finance disclosure report, or filed it more than six days [La. R.S. 18:1505.4(A)(4)(a)] or eleven days [La. R.S. 18:1505.4(A)(4)(b)] late, then the BOE, functioning as the Supervisory Committee on Campaign Finance Disclosure, may impose upon the candidate additional civil penalties not to exceed \$10,000.00 per report.

In hearings under the CFDA before the EAB, the BOE must prove by clear and convincing evidence that the candidate knowingly failed to file a required campaign finance disclosure report (or filed it more than six or eleven days late). A rebuttable presumption of intent not to file reports exists when a candidate fails to submit any required report within three days after the final date for its filing.²⁷

The office of West Baton Rouge Parish Sheriff is a "district" level office, as it is a parish-wide elected office.²⁸ The BOE proved Respondent failed to timely file three campaign finance disclosure reports as a candidate for that office in the October 2019 primary election. Every candidate for "district" public office (or his campaign treasurer), who knowingly fails to timely file a required report may be assessed a civil penalty in the amount of \$60 per day, not to exceed \$2,000.²⁹ The BOE assessed the maximum amount of \$2,000.00 for each missing report, as the required reports were not filed by day 35 of the reports being late.³⁰ "Knowingly and willfully,"

²⁷ See La. R.S. 42:1141.5(C). "Clear and convincing evidence', in general, means that the fact of guilt must be proven to a greater degree than by 'a mere preponderance of the evidence' but less than by 'beyond a reasonable doubt." *Louisiana State Bar Ass'n v. Edwins*, 329 So. 2d 437, 442 (La. 1976).

²⁷ La. R.S. 18:1505.1(A).

²⁸ La. R.S. 18:1483(11); La. R.S. 18:1484(1); BOE 4, page 2.

²⁹ La. R.S. 18:1505.4(A)(2)(a)(ii).

 $^{^{30}}$ \$60.00 multiplied by 35 days equals \$2,100.00, which exceeds the statutory maximum in La. R.S. 18:1505.4(A)(2)(a)(ii).

means conduct which could have been avoided through the exercise of due diligence.³¹

By knowingly failing to file the required reports, Respondent violated the CFDA, and may be assessed a civil penalty up to \$10,000.00 by the BOE for each report.³²

Respondent failed to file his 30-P campaign finance disclosure report by September 12, 2019; he failed to file his 10-P campaign finance disclosure report by October 2, 2019; and he failed to file his 10-G campaign finance disclosure report by November 6, 2019. As of October 5, 2020, he had not filed any of the three reports. Respondent is more than six days late in filing his 30-P campaign finance disclosure report. Respondent is more than six days late in filing his 10-P campaign finance disclosure report. Respondent is more than eleven days late in filing his 10-G campaign finance disclosure report.

The EAB concludes that both the statute and the instructions provided in the Schedule prepared by the BOE contemplate that a candidate in the primary election, like Respondent, must file a 10-G report, even where he does not participate in the general election. The statute requiring the filing of the 10-G report, La. R.S. 18:1495.4(B)(5), provides:

- B. A report shall be filed by a candidate for each regularly scheduled election in which the candidate participates according to the following schedule:
 - (5) Each candidate shall file a report no later than the tenth day prior to the general election which shall be complete through the twentieth day prior to the general election. This shall be the final report for the election for any candidate who does not participate in the general election, unless supplemental reports are required as provided in Subsection D of this Section. (Emphasis added.)

The Schedule of Reporting and Filing Dates³³ informed Respondent the 10-G report was due November 6, 2019.³⁴ The Schedule also advised Respondent that a 10-G report was required

³¹ La. R.S. 18:1505.5.

³² La. R.S. 18:1505.4(A)(4).

³³ BOE-4.

 $^{^{34}}$ *Id*.

of all candidates in the primary election. Finally, the 10-G report covers contributions and expenditures from September 23, 2019, through October 27, 2019, a period which is not covered by the 30-P and 10-P reports.³⁵

The Schedule³⁶ informed Respondent the 30-P report was due September 12, 2019, the 10-P report was due October 2, 2019, and the 10-G report was due November 6, 2019.³⁷ The Schedule also advised Respondent that a 30-P, a 10-P, and a 10-G report were required of all candidates for a "district" office in the primary election.

The BOE proved Respondent, a candidate for "district" office, failed to file a 30-P report and a 10-P report more than six days after the reports' due date. The BOE proved Respondent, a candidate for "district" office, failed to file a 10-G report more than eleven days after the report's due date. The BOE, in its capacity as the Supervisory Committee for Campaign Finance Disclosure, may impose additional civil penalties as authorized La. R.S. 18:1505.4(A)(4)(a) and (b), not to exceed ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00) for each late report.

THIS SPACE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

³⁶ BOE-4.

³⁵ *Id*.

³⁷ Id

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that the 2019 30-P campaign finance disclosure report of Barnell L. Williams is more than six days late, and the Board of Ethics may impose upon Barnell L. Williams an additional civil penalty as allowed by La. R.S. 18:1505.4(A)(4)(a).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the 2019 10-P campaign finance disclosure report of Barnell L. Williams is more than six days late, and the Board of Ethics may impose upon Barnell L. Williams an additional civil penalty as allowed by La. R.S.18:1505.4(A)(4)(a).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the 2019 10-G campaign finance disclosure report of Barnell L. Williams is more than eleven days late, and the Board of Ethics may impose upon Barnell L. Williams an additional civil penalty as allowed by La. R.S.18:1505.4(A)(4)(b).

Rendered and signed on December 14, 2020 in Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

Gregory McDonald

Presiding Administrative Law Judge

Anthony Russo

Administrative Law Judge

Edwin L. Hightower

Administrative Law Judge

NOTICE OF TRANSMISSION OF DECISION OR ORDER

I certify that on <u>Tuesday, December 15, 2020</u>, I have sent a copy of this decision/order to all parties of this matter.

Clerk of Court

Division of Administrative Law

REVIEW RIGHTS

This decision exhausts your administrative remedies. If you are dissatisfied with this ruling, you may have the right to seek a rehearing or reconsideration of this decision or order, subject to the grounds for and time limitations provided in Louisiana Revised Statute 49:959 and Louisiana Code of Civil Procedure article 5059. To determine your review rights, you should act promptly and seek legal advice.

To request a rehearing or reconsideration, send it to one of the addresses indicated below:

EMAIL documents to:

EABprocessing@adminlaw.state.la.us

FAX documents to: EAB Section Deputy Clerk (225) 219-9820 MAIL documents to:
DAL – EAB Section
ATTN: EAB Section Deputy Clerk
P. O. Box 44033

Baton Rouge, LA 70804-4033

If you do not request a rehearing of your decision or your rehearing request is denied, you have the right to seek judicial review in accordance with La. R.S. 49:964, La. R.S. 42:1142(A)(1), and La. C.C.P. art. 5059. To determine your review rights, you should act promptly and seek legal advice.